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Minutes Approval
- Meeting minutes from the July 15th meeting were approved by the DQC.
- All dates stated in meeting minutes will include the year.

Update on Public Comment process
- A handful of comments have been received to date.
- XBRL US has scheduled a webinar for September 3\textsuperscript{rd}, 2015 to publicize and create more awareness for public exposure of rules.

SEC Update
- DQC will meet with SEC staff on September 28\textsuperscript{th}, 2015 2:00-4:00 PM
- Minutes of meeting will be publicly available on DQC website after approval by SEC staff.
- Mark Flannery, Director of Division of Economic and Risk Analysis (DERA) will make introductory remarks.
- A representative from the Division of Corporation Finance will make remarks.
- Items expected to be covered by SEC staff:
  - How data is being used by SEC.
  - Demonstration of dashboard used by Office of Structured Disclosure.
  - SEC efforts to improve quality of data.
- Items that the DQC plans to cover:
  - DQC mission
  - Views of data consumers
  - Views of preparers
  - Current priorities
  - Proposed rules and public comments
- Individuals on DQC will speak at the SEC meeting on different topics.
Priority Work Streams

- **Extensions**
  - Guidance document distributed provides a comprehensive list of reasons for why filers create extensions.
    - Misunderstanding of accounting concepts being disclosed.
    - Element definition/labels do not match concepts being disclosed.
    - Match granularity of disaggregation.
    - Fix rendering.
    - Missing elements.
    - Balance type, period type does not match.
  - Document provides framework for guidance when to/not to extend (question/answer format).
    - Extensions for line items and axes should be rare.
    - Translate disclosure not individual words within text.
    - The same materiality level used to prepare document should be considered when translating information into XBRL.
  - Impact analysis includes list of extensions over past year (includes line items and axes).
    - Adopting newest version of taxonomy reduces extension rates.
  - Is proposed framework the right direction/approach?
    - If dimensions are allowed on face of financial statement, extensions may be reduced (this concept is not covered within the document).
    - Judgement should be removed from the process.
    - Guidance will be applied to the list of extensions to create examples.
    - Combinations of two or more items where no standard elements exist in taxonomy for disclosures.
      - Apply regulation S-X materiality levels – material amounts should be disaggregated, immaterial amounts may be aggregated on a single line item.
      - Rather than create extensions, choose the standard element based on the largest item in the disclosure.
      - Extensions may be OK if filers provide information.
        - Link to parent-child relationship.
        - Calculation relationship alone is not sufficient, need additional metadata (definitions, linkages)
      - Should materiality thresholds under Regulation S-X be a factor in element selection?
        - When tagging an aggregate of immaterial items filers may not be comfortable with choosing a tag for one item.
        - Should have one standard for reporting and tagging.
        - User will be the judge of what is material.
        - May be industry driven.

*Action items – Provide Susan any further thoughts on Extensions.*

*Susan to provide examples and expanded guidance at next meeting*

- **Contributed Rules** – Rules are being proposed for development. These rules will be presented for approval at the September meeting.
DQC-0001 Axis with Inappropriate Members

- Rule provides list of Axes with expected set of members (standard or extensions) which will be associated with the axis.
- Are these combinations appropriate?
  - Debt Instrument Axis – need more discussion, this may create confusion because filers currently use standard elements (e.g., commercial paper member) with this axis.
    - Need guidance to explain what the axis means (nature of debt v. name of debt)
  - Consolidation Items Axis – should be allowed to have extensions
  - Product or Service Axis – if a member is used on different axes can it have different meanings (e.g., oil/gas)?
  - Provide FASB a recommended list of members (propose new elements for the taxonomy) for certain axes.
- Impact analysis shows many errors.
  - Rule flags when there is a value with inappropriate combinations and when there is no value associated with the axis/member combination. Do we care if metadata is incorrect?
    - It is good to tell filers that they have made a mistake this will prevent errors in future filing.

DQC-0041 Default Dimensions
- Rule flags cases when filer assigns a different default member on an axis.
  - This rule complies with SEC guidance.
  - No DQC comments on rule

DQC-0015 Nonnegative Elements
- New list of elements for 2015 taxonomy added to the Negative Value rule.
  - No DQC comments on rule

Action item – Campbell to prepare test cases for proposed rules

Wrap Up

Action item – provide Ami with RSVP for the SEC in person meeting September 28, 2015

Meeting adjourned