Data Quality Committee Joint Meeting with SEC Staff Conference Call March 22, 2023 Highlights

NOTICE: The XBRL US Data Quality Committee meets periodically with the staff of the SEC to discuss issues relating to the use of XBRL data. The purpose of the following highlights is to summarize the issues discussed at the meetings. These highlights do not represent official positions of the XBRL US Data Quality Committee.

In addition, these highlights are not authoritative positions or interpretations issued by the SEC or its staff. The highlights were not transcribed by the SEC and have not been considered or acted upon by the SEC or its staff. Accordingly, these highlights do not constitute an official statement of the views of the Commission or of the staff of the Commission.

Highlights of joint meetings of the XBRL US Data Quality Committee and the SEC staff are not updated for the subsequent issuance of positions taken by the SEC staff, nor are they deleted when they are superseded by the issuance of subsequent highlights or guidance. As a result, the information, commentary or guidance contained herein may not be current or accurate and the XBRL US Data Quality Committee is under no obligation to update such information. Readers are therefore urged to refer to current authoritative or source material.

Attendance

Data Quality Committee	Securities and Exchange Commission	Observers and Guests
Shelly Wavrin, Chair Pranav Ghai Campbell Pryde Brian Bracey	Division of Economic Risk and Analysis Julie Marlowe Brian Gale Jim Yu Vikas Malik Matthew Slavin Anthony Santoro Walter Hamscher Jim Yu Division of the Office of the Chief Accountant Jill Davis Russ Drucker	Ami Beers, AICPA David Tauriello, XBRL US Louis Matherne, FASB Iza Ruta, IFRS Foundation Mark Ward, XBRL US
	Division of Corporation Finance Diane Marciano-Fritz Mike Stehlik Chris Windsor Melissa Rocha Edgar Business Office	

Laura Finch Melinda Hobbs

Office of the Investor Advocate

Charles Kwon Tornia Tornatore

Office of the Chief Data Officer

Austin Gerig Alexandra Ledbetter

Introductions/Meeting Opening

• Julie Marlow opened the meeting with introductions of the participants. Mike Willis provided an SEC disclaimer for the meeting. Shelly provided an overview of the agenda.

Validation Rules and Guidance Update

- Campbell mentioned that all approved validation rules are available on the XBRL US
 website. Version 20 rules were exposed for public comments in November 2022 and were
 approved by the DQC. This version includes rules for BDCs disclosures. Campbell provided
 an overview of the Version 20 rules.
- Campbell introduced Version 21 which includes rules that are being proposed for public review in April 2023.

DQC Errors

- Shelly presented the results of the DQC rules. Shelly mentioned that there are 106 total rules approved in 20 rule sets (83 US GAAP, 14 IFRS, 9 for both). There are 6 proposed rules in April 2023.
- Shelly mentioned that the Data Quality Rules Taxonomy (DQCRT) includes rules that are approved by the FASB Acceptance Program. There are 15 DQC rules in the DQCRT as of 2022, 7 additional rules were added in the 2023 version. There may be 20 additional rules to be added in the 2024 version. The SEC EDGAR system sends a warning message to issuers if a rule is triggered.
- Shelly provided results of errors through quarter 4 of 2022 for rules in the DQCRT. There are significant improvements in errors for rules that are included in DQCRT.
- Shelly provided results of all versions of the rules and showed that errors have decreased over time.
- Shelly presented an analysis of trends for the rules with the highest errors for the fourth quarter 2022. The top 5 rules represent over 50% of total errors for the quarter. Total errors were 6,549 for the quarter. The top 5 rules included:

- DQC_0081 Sibling Child Relationships
- DQC_0121 Incorrect Elements Used
- DQC_0137 Location Axis with a Single Member
- DQC_0099 Financial Statements with no Associated Calculation
- DQC_0015 Negative Values Shelly noted that 10 filers accounted for 44% of total errors. The errors for this rule should be flagged on the test filing in EDGAR; therefore, these filers are not correcting these errors. Shelly asked whether there is more that can be done to inform these filers of these errors.
- Shelly mentioned that there are increases in the errors for DQC_0081 and DQC_0099 that will be continued to be monitored.

Pay vs Performance Tagging

- Shelly stated that this is the first year for Non-smaller reporting companies reporting under this rule. The 7 PvP tagging examples that the SEC staff published were helpful
- Company disclosures are similar to SEC examples, except for more detailed disclosures on adjustments from "Compensation Amount" to the "Amount Actually Paid".
- Custom extensions are expected for Members. However, many filers are using similar extensions.
- In order to facilitate tagging consistency, XBRL US published guidance with a listing of standard extensions and members that can be used by filiers. Guidance includes:
 - o Illustration of tagging shown in the example published by the SEC
 - Added 9 standard extension line items, representing components of compensation amount
 - Added 17 standard extension members, representing different compensation adjustments
 - More extensions may be added by members of the DQC working group if needed.
 - SEC commented that if there are further common reporting practices identified, these should be communicated to SEC.

Integration of DQC Rules in EDGAR

• Campbell explained the current process to incorporate the rules in the DQCRT into EDGAR involves recoding/reproducing each rule. Campbell informed the group that work is being done at the XBRL International level with the intention to improve XBRL Formula to better support filings. There is a hope that if the standard is updated, it could be a standard that could be used by the SEC to be able to incorporate the DQC rules using the current language without the need for recoding.